



Sh Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bolapur, Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 940 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 10.05.2021 has sought information regarding the purchase of sanitiser, soap, handwash, mask etc. during the Corona epidemic from Jan. 2020 and distribution of the same as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Mohali. The complainant was not provided with the information after, which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 19.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Chandigarh. Both the parties are absent.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **27.04.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO to appear at Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Nitin Kumar Garg,
C/o Police Public Dairy 15 A,
Shastri Nagar, Model Town,
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Director,
Health, Punjab, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3550 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar Garg for the Appellant
Dr.Madhur Mattu and Sh.Satish Kumar, Clerk O/o Civil Hospital Pathankot
for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 15.04.2020 has sought information regarding the list of medicines for sale by Jan Aushadhi Store approved by Govt of India in Civil Hospital, Pathankot – the name of contractors of Jan Aushadhi Store as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Pathankot. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 23.05.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Chandigarh. The respondents are present at Chandigarh and informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 11.02.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information even after directions of the First appellate authority dated 30.07.2021 to provide information within 5 days.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the information received from the PIO on 11.02.2022, the Commission observes that the information/list attached with the letter pertains to point-1 only, whereas the respondent on behalf of the respondent is misleading the court by stating that complete information has been supplied, which is a serious matter. Further, there has been an enormous delay in providing the information.

The Commission has taken a serious view of this and hereby directs the PIO to **show cause why penalty be not imposed on him under section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 for not supplying the information within the statutorily prescribed period of time as well as for misleading the court. He/she should file an affidavit in this regard.** If there are other persons responsible for the delay in providing the information, the PIO is directed to inform such person(s) of the show cause and direct them to appear before the Commission along with the written replies.

Appeal Case No. 3550 of 2021

The PIO is again directed to send complete information to the appellant within five days of the receipt of the order.

To come up for further hearing on **30.05.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Pathankot.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Dr. Dayal Singh, S/o S h Sampooran Singh,
R/o Mahavir Nagar, Hanumangarh Road,
Abohar, Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sr. Medical Officer,
Khanna, Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 303 of 2022

PRESENT: Dr. Dayal Singh as the Appellant
Dr. Harvinder Singh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 04.10.2021 has sought information regarding recovery of arrears of Rs.43606/- provided to Dr.N.K.Singla, SMO Service No.2900 after the promotion as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Sr.Medical Officer, Khanna. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 08.11.2021 after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 10.11.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Ludhiana.

As per the respondent, the information sought by the appellant is 3rd party information, it cannot be provided.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **10.05.2022** at 11.00 AM through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. The PIO to appear through VC at DAC Ludhiana.

Chandigarh
Dated: 01.02.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner